

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,602		08/23/2002	Jorg Bernard	05638.0018	6889
22852	7590	04/26/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGA	N, HENI	DERSON, FARAB	WONG, LESLIE A		
LLP . 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DO	20001-4413	1761		

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Mu

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/088,602	BERNARD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leslie Wong	1761		

Advisory Action	10/088,602	BERNARD ET AL.	NARD ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Leslie Wong	1761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
 THE REPLY FILED <u>07 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month bearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)	
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of	
B. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because	
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further comparison (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.2) 	ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	educing or simplifying	j the issues for	
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	l (PTOL-324).	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(sNewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling	
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will gavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary	
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be the claimed invention does not define over the prior art		in condition for allow	ance because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	—	No(s)		
13.		Leslie Wong Primary Examiner	$\sim g$	

Art Unit: 1761

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments to claims 1, 11, and 12 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.